Pyrochlore Ingot Niobium SRF Technology for Next Generation Continuous Wave Accelerators

Ganapati Myneni

LBNL

June 3, 2013





Overview



✓ Fine grain - ingot niobium technologies

✓ Cavity process optimization

✓Accelerator cost and sustainable operation

✓ Summary and outlook







Jefferson Lab Accelerator Complex



Hall D (new construction)



ee Electron Laser







Superconducting

radiofrequency (SRF) cavities

CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer (CLAS) in Hall B



CEBAF energy upgrade







Introduction

Bulk RRR – Residual Resistance Ratio ~ R₃₀₀/R_{4.2}

Has no influence on superconducting rf properties (thermal stabilization)

- Important Interstitials H, C, N and O that contribute to RRR significantly
 - and tantalum, substitutional impurity does not significantly contribute to RRR
- Quality Factor $Q_0 = G/R_{s_1}$ where G is the geometry factor and it is independent of the cavity frequency & $R_s = R_0 + R_{BCS}(f,T,I_{en})$, ideal ~ 2×10 ¹¹ @ 2 K
- Figure of merit of Nb ~ $Q_0^*E_{quench}$



Historical Example of Ingot Niobium



Jefferson Lab

FIG. 1. An electron-beam welded TM_{010} mode Nb cavity. The cavity is resonant at 8.6 GHz and is 3.6 cm in over-all length.

H_{pk}~ 108 mT with BCP

Stanford solid niobium cavity 1970



Niobium Specifications

- Polycrystalline Niobium with ASTM #5 Grain Size or finer ~ 50 micro meters & fully recrystallized
- Percentage of elongation > 25
- Yield Strength > 10.7 KSI (~75 MPa) (~40 Mpa)
- RRR > 250 (>300)
- Tantalum < 1000 wt ppm (<500 wt ppm)</p>

Original CEBAF was built with 90% pyrochlore CBMM niobium



Process steps - fine grain Niobium



Jefferson Lab



can be embedded so QA is required



Multi cell cavity fabrication

Forming

Machining

Welding

Tuning





~90% of CEBAF cavities were made with CBMM Pyrochlore ore based niobium In comparison to present day use of Tantalite/Columbite ore based niobium



Extrinsic contamination of cavity dominated until after CEBAF construction

• Surface contamination

 Molecular and particulate





Vacuum Contamination Work Shop at JLab 1997



Minimizing organic and particulate recontamination addressed, several courses were held in subsequent years



Cavity processing steps

- Buffer chemical polishing (BCP) ~ 150 micro meters
- Electro polishing (EP) ~ 50 micro meters
- High pressure ultra pure water rinse
- ~ 600 900 °C heat treatment
- Light EP
- High pressure ultra pure water rinse
- Vacuum bake ~120 °C for up to 48 hours
- RF test



Niobium cavity – performance (CW)



Jefferson Lab



RRR, type of niobium and vendor has no influence on cavity performance

Development of Large Grain/Single Crystal Niobium Cavity Technology at Jefferson Lab P. Kneisel, G. Myneni, G. Ciovati, T. Carneiro Proc of Linac 2004

Niobium For SRF Cavities

- At present, Niobium for SRF cavities comes from Columbite/Tantalite ore
 - Niobium is present as "impurity"

- Niobium is produced as a by-product of Tantalum
- Primary reason the Tantalum content is lower
 - Tantalum is generally believed to negatively impact SRF properties of Niobium but there is no real data to back it up
 - JLab data shows reducing Tantalum content below 1000 ppm has no advantage for Superconducting RF cavities
 - Low Tantalum niobium is relatively expensive



Ingot Niobium Technology CBMM-JLab CRADA, August 2004

CBMM/JLab jointly applied for US Patent in April 2005 and the patent 8128765 B2 was granted on March 6, 2012. G. Myneni, P. Kneisel and T. Carneiro (CBMM) are the inventors

Many international institutions in Asia, Europe and America are developing ingot niobium cavities. DESY, KEK are among them., AES, Niowave and RI industries are involved.CBMM, Heraues, Ningxia, Tokyo Denkai and Wah Chang are able to provide ingot niobium



Araxá Mine in Brazil & Pyrochlore Niobium Original CEBAF 90% CBMM Nb

The CBMM open cast mine





Electron beam furnace for the refinement of Niobium metal, producing 210 tons per annum

Jefferson Lab

Conveyor belt bringing the ore to concentration plant





Finished Nb ingot from the Pyrochlore ore

Tantalum is an impurity and the amount depends on the location of the ore and can be up to ~ 1500 wt ppm and is uniformly distributed in niobium

Simplified niobium spec: ingot slices with Hv ~ 50



Uniform distribution of Ta has no effect on SC Parameters, BCP has large effect



Jefferson Lab

Sample	Ta-Content	T_C at 100 Oe	H_P	H_{C2}	H'_{C2}
	(ppm)	(K)	(Oe)	(Oe)	(Oe)
Technical-Niobium-1P	$1339{\pm}36$	9.18	1700	4150	7500
Technical-Niobium-2P	$800~\pm80$	9.18	1600	4125	7500
Technical-Niobium-3P	$243{\pm}10$	9.2	1600	4090	7500
Technical-Niobium-1CT	$1285{\pm}35$	9.05	1150	3930	-
Technical-Niobium-2CT	684 ± 54	9.05	1290	3735	-
Technical-Niobium-3CT	$149{\pm}11$	9.06	1350	3820	-

S. B. Roy et al Supercond. Sci. Technol. 25 (2012) 115020



Ingot niobium has superior performance

Tantalum does not affect the performance



Empirically FOM ~ 1.0 x10¹³

Jefferson Lab

Influence of Ta content in high purity niobium on cavity performance P. Kneisel, G. Myneni, G. Ciovati, D. Proch, W. Singer, T. Carneiro et al in Proceedings of PAC 2005



Tantalum and RRR have minimal influence on phonon peak



Specimen	Estimated RRR	Tantalum content (ppm) [3]	Heat Treatment	Titanium getter
1	191	1275	600 °C, 6 hrs	No
2	131	668	600 °C, 6 hrs	No
3	190	756	750 °C, 2 hrs	Yes
4	196	756	750 °C, 2 hrs	Yes
5	104	1322	800 °C, 2 hrs	No
6	143	523	800 °C, 2 hrs	No

Saravan PhD student at MSU



Hydrogen absorption with BCP and EP

- Very high equilibrium hydrogen activities (fugacity) have been estimated when Nb metal is in contact with water or BCP solution
- Hydrogen is readily absorbed into Nb when the protective oxide layer is removed
- Lower H fugacity's are obtained due to an anodic polarization of Nb during EP and hence lower hydrogen absorption

R.E. Ricker, G. R. Myneni, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 115, 353-371 (2010)





Heat treatment to remove hydrogen



Jefferson Lab

- 800°C/3h, pressure ~ 10⁻⁶ mbar
- No chemical etching afterwards!
- Nb samples were treated with the cavities and depth profiling of the impurities was done at NCSU
 - ~ 2 orders of magnitude lower hydrogen content after HT

Currently used furnaces contaminate the cavity surfaces, chemical re-etching reintroduces H



FIGURE 1. SIMS mass spectra showing difference in H between (a) non-heat treated and (b) heat treated sample.

G. Ciovati, G. Myneni, F. Stevie, P. Maheshwari, and D. Griffis, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 13, 022002 (2010

Hydrogen Interactions



Hydrogen is most mobile at room temperature, interacts with defects, interstitials and is influenced by residual stress concentration gradients and affects the magnetic properties





Niobium – hydrogen phase diagram





Niobium – hydrogen phase diagram





Hydrogen phase change





Heat Treatments and Welds Lead to Micro Yielding





Pyrochlore Vs Columbite Nb



Jefferson Lab

🎯 📢

Clean UHV furnace - patents applied for





Design and Performance of a new Induction Furnace for Heat Treatment of Superconducting Radiofrequency Niobium Cavities, P. Dhakal, G. Ciovati, W. Rigby, J. Wallace, G. Myneni Review of Scientific Instruments 83, 065105 (2012)



Cavity material and preparation

- CBMM ingot niobium, RRR ~ 200 (>350), Ta ~ 1350 (<500) wt ppm, inexpensive 50% to 60% less than conventional Nb
- Barrel polishing 73μm, BCP 65μm , a total of 138 μm removal and high pressure UHP water (~250 μm for fine grain)





RF field dependence of Q₀

Large Grain G1-G2 (RRR ~ 200, Ta ~ 1375 wppm) CEBAF OC shape single-cell, 1.474 GHz



- Low-field Q-increase extending up to ~70 mT
- Q₀ is ~4× higher than the average of CEBAF Upgrade cavities
 - $Q_0(1.5 \text{ K}) \sim 2 \times 10^{11}$

Jefferson Lab

Dhakal, Ciovati and Myneni Proceedings of IPAC 2012



Intrinsic contamination of Nb & protondislocation interaction appear to determine the performance of the cavities

- Niobium is a prolific hydrogen absorber in the absence of the natural surface oxide
 - Hydride formation
 - Dislocations-proton interaction



Improved mechanical properties with 1400 C HT







Residual stress is largest near the equator and Iris Peak surface magnetic filed is highest near the equator, where quenches originate, etch pits seen and is the bed for hydrogen-dislocation interactions







What has been demonstrated

• High RRR (~>300) is not essential

Fourth melt ingot Nb is OK

- Tantalum up to 1300 wt ppm is fine
- Ingot niobium technology demonstrated by DESY with conventional

processes

• Simplified JLab new processes provide a factor of ~ 4 Q₀

improvement



Cryogenic Refrigeration Cost Reduction with improved Qo (~factor of 3) CW SRF Cavities

- A 10 kW 2 K refrigerator costs ~ 100 M\$
- A factor of 3 improvement in Qo will lower this to ~ 45 M\$
- The power consumption and hence the operating costs will be reduced by a third





Economic, efficient and sustainable path for CW applications



Jefferson Lab



Expected material cost savings at least 50%



Simplified process steps

- Minimize the process steps
 - Centrifugal barrel polishing ~ 100 micro meters
 - High pressure ultra pure water rinse
 - > 1400 C heat treatment
 - High pressure ultra pure water rinse
 - RF test

Jefferson Lab

 These simple steps are expected to minimize hydrogen absorption



Future Outlook

- Ingot niobium technology (low RRR, high tantalum content) has proven to be ideal for CW SRF applications
- We expect that this technology will be the preferred choice for future superconducting CW linacs world-wide
- An international workshop on proton-hydrogen interactions will be organized to further develop the understanding of the last unresolved scientific issue





Virginia Nuclear Medical Isotope Development Facility

- S. Gobalkrishnan¹, S. M. Bilbao y Leon¹, G. Myneni², D. Wells³, J. Zweit¹
 ¹ Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA
 ² Jefferson Laboratory, Newport News, VA, USA
 ³ South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, Rapid City, SD, USA
- The US FDA approved the use of the radioisotope ⁶⁷Cu, for cancer treatment and imaging trials in humans, about 25 years ago. However, despite its attractive decay and imaging properties, ⁶⁷Cu is not yet available in sufficient quantities from spallation reactions using high energy cyclotrons. In order to accelerate its clinical use in therapeutic and imaging applications, an alternative approach to its production is needed. Superconducting 50 MeV electron linacs are expected to remedy this situation very effectively. Virginia Commonwealth University Schools of Medicine (including Center for Molecular Imaging), and Engineering, Jefferson Lab and South Dakota School of Mines and Technology have come together under the recently established Virginia Nuclear Energy Consortium umbrella to jointly develop the Virginia Nuclear Medical Isotope Development Facility (VNMIDF). The partner institutions are planning to develop new approaches to provide a "step change" in the production of copper radioisotopes, in particular ⁶⁷Cu for multi-modality biomedical imaging and multitherapeutic applications. The strategy is extensible and amenable to the production of other radioisotopes spanning a variety of applications including scientific, medical and industrial uses. The VNMIDF will not only aid in the development of critically needed medical radioisotopes but will also provide huge opportunities in materials research, including theranostic (therapeutic and diagnostic) nanoparticles and complementary research in novel approaches in non-invasive image guided technologies in surgical, radiation, drug therapeutics and training of future generation of scientists and engineers.

Accapp'13 abstract



International Symposium On Hydrogen In Matter (ISOHIM) Publications

Hydrogen in Materials and Vacuum Systems AIP CP 671 http://www.virtualjournals.org/dbt/dbt.jsp?KEY=APCPCS&Volume=671&Issue=1

Hydrogen in Matter AIP CP 837 http://www.virtualjournals.org/dbt/dbt.jsp?KEY=APCPCS&Volume=837&Issue=1

Single Crystal Large Grain Niobium AIP CP 927 http://www.virtualjournals.org/dbt/dbt.jsp?KEY=APCPCS&Volume=927&Issue=1

Superconducting Science and Technology of Ingot Niobium AIP CP 1352 http://scitation.aip.org/dbt/dbt.jsp?KEY=APCPCS&Volume=1352&Issue=1



JLab's worldwide network of collaborators

Tadeu Carneiro, Marcos Stuart - CBMM F. Stevie, P. Maheswari (grad student), D. Griffis – NCSU R. Ricker – NIST J. Wallace – Casting Analysis Corporation Björgvin Hjörvarsson – Uppsala University B. Lanford – UNY, Albany R. Pike and summer student interns – W&M Hani Elsayed-Ali, Ashraf Hassan Farha (grad student) – ODU Asavari Dhavale & J. Mondal (grad students) – BARC/HBNI Sindhunil Roy – RRCAT Saravan Chandrasekaran – MSU International Symposium On Hydrogen In Matter (ISOHIM)

efferson Lab

ingot niobium technology niobium surface science hydrogen-niobium system co-PI DOE ONP ARRA Q_0 improvement program hydrogen-niobium system nuclear reaction analysis XRD analysis of niobium niobium nitride ingot niobium properties SC properties of niobium ingot niobium properties non profit organization for education/training

Acknowledgements to all colleagues at JLab





Back up slides



🎯 📢

TTC 2012 Jefferson Lab Nov 5-8, 2012

CEBAF niobium (Wah Chang and Fansteel) tantalite/ pyrochlore columbite concentrates concentrates ASTM ~5 fine grain FeNb,oxide, slags Nb sheets extraction HF-digestion+1/1-extract(MIBK) leaching Ta <1000 wt. ppm chlorination/distillation chlorination/distillation 90% from CBMM NbCl₅ (KoNbFr) Nb₂O Nb₂O₅ **Pyrochlore Ore** 10% form Cabot reduction Columbite/ electrolysis in molten salts C-reduction Na-reduction Al - reduction **Tantalite Ore** ATR-NB Nb Nb Nb NbC powder, dendrites aranular powder ingots purification /consolidation/fabrication hydrogenat. TaC/NbC EBM* production degassing Nb Nb hydrogenat. degassing powder) ingots NЬ sintering rods electrolytic Nb Nb metal EBM refining EBFZM ingots rods electroform



K. Schulze et aleign Niobium 201981

EBM = electron beam melting

Jefferson Lab

릗

Process steps - fine grain Niobium



Jefferson Lab





TTC 2012 Jefferson Lab Nov 5-8, 2012

Current niobium derived from Cm/Ta Ore



Figure 2: Hydrometallurgical Processing of Niobium and Tantalum.

Heraeus, Ninxia, Plansee, Tokyo Denkai and Wah Chang

Eckert et al in Niobium Science and Technology 2001

🎯 📢

TTC 2012 Jefferson Lab Nov 5-8, 2012

Ingot niobium from Pyrochlore Ore CBMM Ta up to ~ 1500 wt. ppm



